

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,707	01/24/2002	Thomas H. Gilman	30056/37566	2074
4743	7590 10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
MARSHAL 6300 SEARS	L, GERSTEIN & BOI	RUN LLP	LEWIS, KIM M	
233 S. WACI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		3761	<u>-</u>
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	~ ~

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/056,707	GILMAN, THOMAS H.	:
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	,
	Kim M. Lewis	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the co	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from o, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	:
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 /	April 2003		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, p		: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application			:
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			,
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		:
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2002 is/are	: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		:
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			:
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No	;
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		:
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application	n).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been re	ceived.	
Attachment(s)			:
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) ction .	: :
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 4/28/03 has been received and made of record in the application file wrapper. Note the acknowledged form PTO-1449 enclosed herewith.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 1, the reference character "W", which represents an incision has a lead line directed to the side of the dressing, not the incision. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the legal word "said" in lines 5 and 8-10. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,571,080 ("Jensen") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,074,965 ("Bodenchatz et al.").

As regards claims 1, 2, 7 and 12, Jensen substantially discloses the claimed invention. More specifically, Jensen discloses a surgical dressing and an adhesive composition. The dressing comprises a backing layer having secured thereto a hydrocolloid adhesive layer. Both the backing and adhesive layers are constructed from the same materials as those of the instant invention. As such, the dressing has the same properties (e.g., liquid and pathogen impermeable, transparency, stretchable and contractible). Jensen fails to teach a plurality of flexible but substantially non-stretchable reinforcing members secured to and extending in spaced relation across the dressing.

Martz, however, teaches it is conventional in the art to add fiber/filament/strip reinforcement members constructed from generally known flexible, non-stretchable

Application/Control Number: 10/056,707

Art Unit: 3761

material to a support in order to impart stiffness thereto. The addition of such will automatically control the stretch of the support material.

In view of Martz, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Jensen with the addition of a plurality of parallel spaced reinforcing members in order to reduce stretch in the dressing.

As regards claim 3, the examiner contends that the filaments are arranged in spaced parallel groups (see marked-up Fig. 6).

As regards claims 4 and 8, the filaments extend in parallel relation along substantially the same plane.

As regards claims 5, 9, and 11, Martz discloses that the reinforcing members may be embedded into a support, fused to a surface of the support, or adhesively secured to the support material. As such, the modified dressing of Jensen may have the fibers/strips secured to the any side of the backing layer, *i.e.*, adjacent the adhesive layer or opposite the adhesive layer. As to claims 6 and 10, if embedded in the backing layer, the reinforcing members extend between the backing layer and the adhesive layer.

As regards claim 13, note the abstract of Jensen, which discusses the hydrocolloid particles in an adhesive matrix. Also, note col. 3, line 64-col. 4, line 23.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim M. Lewis whose telephone number is 703.308.1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Tuesdays from 5:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 703.308.1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.3590 for regular communications and 703.305.3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0858.

Kim M. Lewis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761

kml September 30, 2003